Pressure ulcers are a major burden to healthcare institutions, families, and most importantly to the individual patient. In a recent survey of acute care patients it was found that 12.0-19.7% of all patients suffered from one or more pressure ulcers. \(^1\) Pressure ulcers affect 2.5 million patients and approximately 60,000 patients die as a direct result of pressure ulcers each year. \(^2,3\)

Pressure ulcers are caused by a combination of variables with the primary factor being pressure. Shear and friction are also contributing factors and occur when the patient slides down in bed as well as when they are pushed/pulled up in bed without being lifted off of the surface. These variables contribute to a relatively or absolutely insufficient level of perfusion. \(^4,5\) Prolonged and sustained pressure (time) is also a contributing factor, as the longer perfusion is obstructed the greater the likelihood of pressure ulcer development. \(^6\) Therefore, regular and frequent repositioning of the patient is an integral and necessary part of pressure ulcer prevention. \(^7,8\)

When the head of a bed (HOB) is elevated, the knee section bends at an angle to help delay the sliding of the patient. This position improves comfort, facilitates respiratory function and makes eating easier for the patient. Also, a semi-recumbent position of 30° or higher is often recommended for patients treated with mechanical ventilation to prevent aspiration and pneumonia. \(^9,10\)

However, when the HOB is elevated, the support surface literally becomes a ramp and gravity causes the patient’s body to gradually slide down in bed. Sliding down was shown to lead to a significant increase in pressure on the sacral area, heels, as well as other susceptible areas on the body. \(^11\)

An IRB approved protocol was used to analyze and understand the effects of pressure at different positions as volunteers slid down in bed. More specifically, this study was aimed at determining how pressure, peak pressure and peak pressure indices change on at-risk areas of the body as an individual slides down in bed.
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METHODS

A descriptive study comparing pressure distribution at different body locations at four discrete positions in bed was conducted in the lab of Evan Call MS, CSM of Weber State University, using an IRB approved protocol and following guidelines as set by the company’s standard testing procedures.

The following three types of surfaces were used for all volunteers:

- Powered integrated air surface
- Non-powered air surface
- Viscoelastic memory foam surface

The bed frames, with each surface, were set with the HOB elevated to 30° and the knee angle at 14° for all measurement phases.

Four volunteers were used for the testing:

- Female, 145 lbs., 67”, BMI 23.4
- Male, 178 lbs., 71.5”, BMI 26.3
- Female, 138 lbs., 68.75”, BMI 21.6
- Male, 220 lbs., 74”, BMI 29.0

A calibrated Xsensor X2 36x84 pressure map was used for the measurements. In this map, each sensor covered 1.25 inch².

Volunteers were placed on the surfaces and pressure was measured with each volunteer in four discrete positions as they slid down in bed (0”, 3”, 6” and 9”). Sliding was accomplished between each distance in a manner consistent with how a patient would normally slide down in bed. Each volunteer was measured five times for two minutes in each position with the average of the data being used in the analyses and corresponding Figures.

FIGURE 1

Position 1 0° DOWN
Position 2 3° DOWN
Position 3 6° DOWN
Position 4 9° DOWN
A laser level was pointed at a mark on the volunteer’s skin of the lateral iliac crest. The distance between the skin mark and the laser light was used to measure the distance from the original comfort position where the patient was properly placed up in bed (0° down).

A number of values were measured or calculated, including:

- **Contact Area (CA, cm²):** the area with pressure readings greater than or equal to 5 mmHg
  
The Contact Area was calculated from:
  \[
  CA = \frac{(A \times N_5)}{N_{\text{total}}}
  \]
  where:
  - \(A\) = area pressure mat containing sensors, cm²
  - \(N_5\) = number of sensors with pressure readings > 5 mmHg
  - \(N_{\text{total}}\) = total number of sensors in mat (or zone)

- **Peak Pressure (PP):** the highest recorded reading on the pressure map or within a specified zone

- **Peak Pressure Index (PPI):** the highest recorded average in a given area, measured using an array of four sensors including the PP

All pressure measurements are expressed in millimeters of mercury (mmHg).

Figures II, III, IV, V and VI show the plotted data lines for the Total Contact Area, Peak Pressure and Peak Pressure Index averages for all volunteers for each of the three surfaces, as well as the overall average and regression line for each data set.
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**RESULTS**

Sliding down in a hospital bed causes weight shifts to the sacral area, heels and other at-risk areas of the body (Figure I). This weight shift causes the volunteer’s Total Contact Area with the surface to decrease (Figure II). This decrease in Total Contact Area results in an increase in pressures, peak pressures and peak pressure indices in both the sacral area and heels. Basic physics supports this finding; a given amount of force applied over a small area will produce greater pressure than the same amount of force applied over a larger area.

---

**FIGURE II**

**TOTAL CONTACT AREA**
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Sliding down in bed impacts pressure ulcer development and the importance of frequent repositioning.
RESULTS (CONTINUED)

Peak pressures and peak pressure indices increase in the sacral area as the volunteer slides down in bed (Figures III and IV). Additionally, as demonstrated in Figure I, pressures in the sacral area continue to increase as the volunteer slides further down in bed, and is highlighted by a shift or rotation of the volunteer onto their trochanters the further they slide.

Peak pressures and peak pressure indices show a strong upward trend in the heel area as the volunteer slides down in bed (Figures V and VI). Also, as demonstrated in Figure I, pressures in the heel area continue to increase as the volunteer slides further down in bed, and becomes visibly noticeable at the 9” position. This occurs as the volunteer’s feet contact the footboard causing their knees to bend, which results in a significant weight shift to the heels.

When the volunteer’s feet contacted the footboard, a trend of decreasing pressure and contact area beyond the 9” position was observed. This suggests a realistic scenario where the patient’s feet encounter the footboard, offloading pressure from the surface to the footboard, resulting in a higher risk of pressure ulcer development.

The previous Figures show that Total Contact Area decreased and pressure increased as each volunteer slid down regardless of the type of surface. The results of this study are significant at a 95% confidence level.

DISCUSSION

Elevation of the head of the bed is often used to provide better overall comfort to patients. However, when the head of the bed is raised, gravity forces patients to slide down in bed.

This study, as discussed above, results in the following conclusions as the patient slides down in bed:

- Patients’ weight shifts causing their Total Contact Area with the surface to decrease
- A decrease in Total Contact Area results in an increase in pressures, peak pressures and peak pressure indices in the sacral area and heels
- As the patient’s feet contact the footboard, their knees bend resulting in significant pressure increase on their heels
- As the patient’s knees bend, their body rotates onto their side, increasing pressure in their trochanter area
- It is highly likely that the above phenomena will contribute to a higher incidence of pressure ulcer development

The study results presented here are consistent with recent literature findings:

- Okuwa observed that in some patients in the supine position and with the head of the bed elevated to 30°, wound margins at the sacrum and coccyx regions were thickened. This study showed that pressure, measured at thickened skin, was higher than pressure in normal skin in the same patient while the thicker-skinned margins healed more slowly, leading to the conclusion that a 30° HOB elevation negatively influences healing by increasing pressure.
- In a study in which transcutaneous oxygen (TcPO\textsubscript{2}) and transcutaneous carbon dioxide (TcPCO\textsubscript{2}) levels were measured, TcPO\textsubscript{2} decreased and TcPCO\textsubscript{2} increased at the sacral area when the HOB angle was 45° or higher, indicating compromised tissue viability.
- Peterson showed that raising the head of bed to 30° increases peak interface pressure significantly in a study with volunteers. The same author stated in a different study that “relieving at-risk tissue is a necessary part of pressure ulcer prevention, but the repositioning practice itself needs improvement.”
CONCLUSION

While it is commonly accepted that repositioning patients places a heavy burden on nursing staffs, with regard to time management, as well as from a physical strain and risk for injury, the research study above shows evidence that it can also have an impact on clinical outcomes.

When gravity causes patients to slide down in bed, pressure increases even at the earliest stages of migration. Pressure increases accelerate the further the patient slides down in bed. This research demonstrates that sliding down in bed escalates the pressure ulcer development risk for patients. Therefore, frequent and timely repositioning of patients up in bed, in whatever form, must be an integral part of any pressure ulcer prevention and management program.
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